



DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

12-278

Federal Communications Commission
Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau
Washington, D C 20554

CGB

SEP 5 2003

Control No 0302447/aw

The Honorable Charles W "Chip" Pickering
U S House of Representatives
229 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, D C 20515-2403

REC'D

SEP 11 2003

Dear Congressman Pickering

Federal Communications Commission
Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau

Thank you for your letter on behalf of your constituent, Mr Hal Perkins, regarding the Federal Communications Commission's (Commission) recent amendment to the rules implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 (TCPA) Specifically, Mr Perkins expresses concern that, "without the full input from the business community," the Commission reversed its prior conclusion that an "established business relationship" constitutes the necessary express permission to send an unsolicited facsimile advertisement. Mr Perkins indicates that requiring such express permission to be in writing will place onerous burdens on associations that wish to fax their members

On September 18, 2002, the Commission released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) in CG Docket No 02-278, seeking comment on whether it should change its rules that restrict telemarketing calls and unsolicited fax advertisements, and if so, how The NPRM sought comment on the option to establish a national do-not-call list, and how such action might be taken in conjunction with the national do-not-call registry rules adopted by the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) and the numerous state do-not-call lists In addition, the Commission sought comment on the effectiveness of the TCPA's unsolicited facsimile advertisement rules, including the Commission's determination that a prior business relationship between a fax sender and recipient establishes the requisite consent to receive advertisements via fax The Commission received over 6,000 comments from individuals, businesses, and state governments on the TCPA rules

The record in this proceeding, along with our own enforcement experience, demonstrated that changes in the current rules are warranted, if consumers and businesses are to continue to receive the privacy protections contemplated by the TCPA As explained in the Commission's Report and Order released on July 3, 2003, the record indicated that many consumers and businesses receive faxes they believe they have neither solicited nor given their permission to receive. Consumers emphasized that the burden of receiving hundreds of unsolicited faxes was not just limited to the cost of paper and toner, but includes the time spent reading and disposing of faxes, the time the machine is printing an advertisement and is not operational for other purposes, and the intrusiveness of faxes transmitted at inconvenient times, including in the middle of the night


2

As we explained in the Report and Order, the legislative history of the TCPA indicates that one of Congress' primary concerns was to protect the public from bearing the costs of unwanted advertising. Therefore, Congress determined that companies that wish to fax unsolicited advertisements to customers must obtain their express permission to do so before transmitting any faxes to them. The amended rules require all entities that wish to transmit advertisements to a facsimile machine to obtain permission from the recipient in writing.

The Commission's amended facsimile advertising rules were initially scheduled to go into effect on August 25, 2003. However, based on additional comments received since the adoption of the July Report and Order, the Commission, on its own motion, determined to delay the effective date of some of the amended facsimile rules, including the elimination of the established business relationship exemption, until January 1, 2005. The comments filed after the release of the Report and Order indicate that many organizations may need additional time to secure this written permission from individuals and businesses to which they fax advertisements. Enclosed is a copy of the Commission's Order on Reconsideration, released on August 18, 2003.

We appreciate Mr. Perkins' comments. We have placed a copy of Mr. Perkins' correspondence in the public record for this proceeding. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have further questions.

Sincerely,


K. Dane Snowden

Chief

Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau

Enclosures

COMMITTEE
ENERGY AND COMMERCE
SUBCOMMITTEES
TELECOMMUNICATIONS
ENERGY AND AIR QUALITY
HEALTH

CONGRESSIONAL WIRELESS
CAUCUS CO CHAIR
ASSISTANT WHIP

CHIP PICKERING
THIRD DISTRICT, MISSISSIPPI

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515-2403

COMMITTEE
AGRICULTURE
SUBCOMMITTEES
GENERAL FARM COMMODITIES AND RISK
MANAGEMENT
LIVESTOCK AND HORTICULTURE

229 CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515
(202) 225-5031
FAX (202) 225-5797

August 12, 2003

The Honorable Michael Powell
Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, D C. 20554

Dear Chairman Powell

Let me take this opportunity to bring to your attention a recent letter I received from one of my constituents. Mr Perkins has contacted my office in regards to the rules governing unsolicited facsimile advertisements that are included in the Report and Order that amends the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991.

I ask that you consider their concerns and provide my office with a reply that I may pass along to Mr Perkins. Thank you for your time regarding this matter and I look forward to your response

Sincerely yours,

Chip Pickering

CWP jd

Enclosure

110 D AIRPORT ROAD
PEARL, MS 39208
(601) 932-2410
FAX (601) 965-4598

823 22ND AVENUE
MERIDIAN, MS 39301
(601) 691-6681
FAX (601) 691-1801

18 AUG 2003
RESEARCH BOULEVARD, SUITE 201
OSPREYVILLE, MS 39759
(662) 324-0007
FAX (662) 324-0033

230 SOUTH WHITWORTH STREET
BROOKHAVEN, MS 39601
(601) 823-3400
FAX (601) 823-5514

308 FRANKLIN STREET
NAICHEZ, MS 39120
(601) 442-2515
FAX (601) 442-3211

Internet: <http://www.house.gov/pickering/>

The Honorable Michael Powell
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

AUG 11 2003

Dear Commissioner Powell:

RE: Docket # 02-278

I am writing to strongly urge you to stay temporarily and then reconsider the rules governing unsolicited facsimile advertisements included in the Report and Order amending the regulations that implement the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 (TCPA)

The Commission has decided, without the full input from the business community, to modify the current law by doing away with the "established business relationship" provision pertaining to fax advertisements.

I understand that I would not be allowed to fax promotions for my business. Furthermore, the rule implies that if I call to request membership-related information such as the benefits, events, and services of another business, chamber of commerce or association, I would still have to send my written permission before anything was sent to me.

I believe that the FCC did not fully understand the breadth, scope and practical effect of this decision. These regulations will add to the economic burden of running a small business by increasing paperwork requirements and encouraging frivolous lawsuits against unsuspecting small business owners. There are already many organizations advertising their litigation services and ready to pounce on small businesses that allegedly send out unsolicited faxes.

This proposal is a prime example of an idea where the disadvantages and unintended consequences far outweigh the benefits. I urge you to reconsider the proposal and ask that you temporarily stay the rules until chambers of commerce, trade associations, and businesses are able to provide additional comments.

Sincerely,

Hal Perkins
1120 Flowood Dr
Jackson, MS 39232-3215

cc:
Senator Cochran
Senator Lott
Representative Pickering